

WIFE MURDERER  
MEETS HIS DOOM

Louis H. Mott Hanged at Missoula, Mont.

MONEY COULD NOT SAVE HIM

WHISKY BROUGHT HIM TO THE SCAFFOLD.

Missoula, Mont., March 18.—Louis H. Mott was hanged at 6:38 o'clock this morning for the murder of his wife. Five minutes after the trap was sprung he was pronounced dead. His neck was broken. Mott walked unassisted from the fall to the enclosure in which the gallows had been erected. In a brief speech under the gallows he stated he believed his conviction had been brought about through dishonest methods, and concluded by saying that he was willing to give life for life. As the straps were being adjusted he began a prayer, "Almighty God," he exclaimed, then turning to the deputy sheriff he protested that the strap was too tight. Continuing his prayer, he said, "Almighty God, I beg Thy forgiveness for my sins, and I now resign my spirit. Citizens of Missoula, good-bye." The trap was sprung.

The crime for which Mott was hanged was the shooting of his wife on Sunday, Jan. 4, 1903. For months previous to the murder he had been addicted to the use of liquor and drugs, causing a depressed mental condition. While east on a business trip his wife sold his laundry business in Missoula. He became greatly angered on his return, brooding constantly over his wife's act. On the day of the murder he became involved in a lengthy quarrel with his wife, which was followed by his shooting her four times with a revolver while she was fleeing from the room. His wife lingered for nine hours before death.

Mott went to the supreme court in an effort to secure a stay of execution, but made no appeal to the governor. In the latter effort he had the influence and financial aid of his uncle, Lemuel Mott, a wealthy miller of Des Moines, Ia., who came to Montana and made a personal appeal to the governor. All of his appeals were based on the fact that he wished to escape the stain upon his name for the sake of his children solely.

## FOUND DEAD IN HIS ROOM.

Patrick Kinselle, an Aged Mining Man, Expires Suddenly.

Patrick Kinselle, 73 years of age, was found lying dead on the floor of his room in the St. Elmo hotel, about 7 o'clock. He was found by Arthur Magill, one of the roomers. Magill noticed the door to the old man's room partly open and could see him lying on the floor. He stepped to the door and looked in to see what was the matter, and found him lying on his back dead.

The proprietor of the hotel notified the police by telephone and Officer William Armstrong responded, who in turn called for Coroner C. H. Lenoire. The old man, who had been in the room for some time, was found lying on his back, his head resting on the wall. He had been in the room for some time, and his death was attributed to a heart attack. The coroner's jury returned a verdict of natural causes.

## BANCROFT IS BACK.

Tells of Progress of Work on the Salt Lake Route.

General Manager W. H. Bancroft of the Union Pacific Railroad returned yesterday from California. He said he went down there on a sort of pleasure trip at the invitation of the Salt Lake Route officials.

"I found everything in a promising condition," he said. "The road is being built in the most efficient manner. The new contract for construction will bring the line down to Las Vegas, making 121 miles in all."

Mr. Bancroft expects to leave for Omaha today or tomorrow.

## ONE PAGE COMPLETED.

House Making Slow Time on Post-office Bill.

Washington, March 18.—The house was in session a little more than three hours today, an early adjournment being taken to permit members to make a trip to the site of the proposed Jamestown exposition.

Although the postoffice appropriation bill was under discussion today, the entire time, only one page was completed, making eleven pages in all disposed of.

Several amendments were adopted, the principal one being the inserting of a new paragraph in the bill providing for an appropriation of \$300,000 for "unusual business" at third and fourth class postoffices.

## ADVANCE IN GLASS.

Columbus, O., March 18.—Independent Window Glass company today decided upon a 5 per cent advance in the price of window glass.

## JURY SECURED.

San Francisco, March 18.—The jury to try Mrs. Botkin for the murder of Mrs. Dunning was completed today and the trial will commence Monday morning.

## NOTED SCULPTOR DEAD.

London, March 18.—David Stevenson, the sculptor, died at Edinburgh today. He was born in 1842.

## People Don't Feel Bad

when fed right.

Grape Nuts

## STATE NEWS

## PERISHES FROM COLD ONE HELD; OTHER FREE

Boy Becomes Bewildered and Loses His Life Almost in Sight of Home.

Brigham City, March 18.—Near Locomotive Springs, a settlement about twenty miles south of Snowflake, a man named Lynch is said to have sent his son, a lad about 12 years of age, after some horses. The little fellow was ill clad, being without coat or hat, and having an old pair of shoes on his feet. Not finding his horse, he started out to find him, and although they state they heard him shouting and crying, they could not locate him. The boy was found dead, his body was cold, and the little fellow struggled manfully to reach shelter. He had thrown away his shoes and in his stocking feet had trudged about calling for help. On the third day his body was found, cold in death, about a mile from his home. The boy's death is a tragedy, and the officers of the law will probably take the matter up.

## PETERSON MURDER TRIAL.

Case Will Probably Go to Jury On Monday.

(Special to The Herald.)  
Nephew, March 18.—The first witness called in the Peterson murder trial was P. Carlson, who detailed the circumstances leading up to the tragedy, and admitted upon cross-examination that he had some trouble with the defendant. During this trouble Herman Backman, a brother of the defendant, was killed. The trial is expected to continue today.

The next witness was Erick Eastman, a brother of the man who was killed. He testified much in substance as the other witness, but admitted that he and his brother had been in the room when the murder was committed. The trial is expected to continue today.

## UNCLE SAM DETERMINED.

Two More Arrests Made of Violators of United States Laws.

Vernal, March 12.—Two additional arrests were made by Deputy United States Marshal Smyth of parties suspected of selling whisky to the Indians. The parties were John Reed, James Peterson and John Gardner. The three first have been sent to the federal court, and the latter was discharged after a week in the county jail.

The United States officials say they are determined to break up the nefarious traffic if possible and will follow the matter up more rigidly in the future. There is one feature of the prosecutions that is arousing considerable unfavorable comment, and that is the securing of convictions on the evidence of the Indians. It is said that the Indian police are offered a reward for every conviction of whisky selling, and their natural cupidity, coupled with their well known treachery, makes it very dangerous proposition to accept their unsolicited evidence in the conviction of a white man. Vernal people seem to be anxious to see every one prosecuted who is breaking the federal statute covering this offense, but they are also aware of the danger in the acceptance of Indian evidence without being supplemented with something else.

State Senator Hardin Bennett and R. S. Collett returned last night on a trip down Green river, where they are interested in a tract of land. Mr. Bennett saw the Moffat surveyors while out, and says that he formed the impression from what he saw and heard that the lower route will be the one chosen. If this proves correct, Vernal will be twenty-five or thirty miles from the river.

## DEATH'S HARVEST.

John Peterson of Elnore and Annie Yergensen of Monroe.

Richfield, March 17.—John Peterson, an aged citizen of Elnore, died Wednesday evening. He had been ill for some time, being confined to his bed most of the time. He was a native of Denmark, and had lived in this country about twenty-five years ago, settling in Elnore and leaving two daughters, Mrs. S. C. Peterson and Mrs. M. W. Lott of Elnore, and three sons, one of whom is now in Gunnison and one in Idaho. He was a most highly respected citizen of that place, and has taken a great deal of interest in public affairs throughout the county, being at the time of his death 85 years old.

Miss Annie Yergensen of Monroe died last night. She had been ill for some time, and her death was attributed to a heart attack.

## COALVILLE NEWS NOTES.

Coalville, March 18.—The S. B. L., a literary society composed of ladies, celebrated its eighth anniversary last Wednesday evening. A fine program was rendered and refreshments served.

Messias J. A. Smith and R. F. Northcott gave an elaborate card party at the latter's residence last evening.

The leading social event of the week was the party given yesterday by the Relief society. Upwards of 200 people assembled in the society hall and enjoyed a dinner that attested the ability of the ladies to cook. Then a programme consisting of recitations and songs was rendered.

St. Patrick's day was celebrated by a dance in the opera house. The dance was given by the ladies of the Relief society, and was a great success.

Mr. A. Callis went to Salt Lake on Wednesday to visit with relatives and friends there.

President Taylor has been in Ogden this week on business connected with the reservoir project.

T. L. Allen made a business trip to Salt Lake and Ogden this week.

## SEARCH FOR MISSING SHIP.

San Francisco, March 18.—The revenue steamer Daniel Manning sailed today to search for the ship V. H. Macy, that was sighted in distress off Coos Bay by the steamer City of Puebla about a week ago.

Jennings Must Stand Trial For Arson, Catter Discharged at Provo.

Provo, March 18.—In the Jennings-Catter examination Deputy Sheriff Graham testified that he told Catter, when he arrested him, that Holliman had told him about the cremery fire, and that Catter seemed disturbed in mind over this.

Sheriff Harmon testified that Catter had given him the first information which enabled him to connect Holliman, Wilson and Jennings with the Lehi fire. The following letter, written by Mr. Catter to Sheriff Harmon in February, after the cremery fire, was introduced.

Mr. Harmon, Sheriff, Provo.—Dear Sir: I have some very important work for you here. I wish you would call on me as soon as convenient. I had a letter; also a man called and saw me later. Said he knows the man that set the Lehi cremery on fire, and he knows the party that hired him to do the job. Very truly,  
"J. E. CATTER."

Secretary Lewis of the Lehi cremery testified that Catter owned 54 shares of capital stock in the cremery. The total capital stock was \$10,000. The cremery was started in 1901, and Catter received \$300.00 of which Mr. Catter received \$300.00. Mr. Catter also had uninsured property to the value of \$100.00 destroyed by the fire.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

D. J. Thurman testified in regard to the quantity of milk used by the cremery. He said that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year. Mr. Catter had told him that the cremery used 1,200 pounds of milk from May to October, and less the rest of the year.

that Catter was the man that wanted the cremery burned, and would pay the Jennings had first said the man's name was Kitter, or something like that, and witness said: "Catter" Jennings was Kitter, or something like that. County Clerk Bachman testified that the taxes on the cremery for 1903, \$25.00, were not paid, and Mr. Catter had come before the county commissioners after the fire and had the taxes remitted on all the property except the land, which was \$1.25. This evidence was stricken on motion of the attorneys for the defense.

The prosecution announced that it had no further evidence, and Mr. Evans, for J. E. Catter, moved that Catter be discharged on the ground that there was no evidence to connect him with the crime. The prosecution objected to the discharge of Catter, and the court sustained the objection. The court then ordered that Catter stand trial for the crime of arson.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Jennings on the ground that there was no evidence against Jennings. The court sustained the motion, and Jennings was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.

Mr. Evans then made the motion to discharge Catter on the ground that there was no evidence against Catter. The court sustained the motion, and Catter was discharged.</